Abstract

Self-assembly represents a promising strategy for surface functionalisation as well as creating nanostructures with well-controlled, tailor-made properties and functionality. Molecular self-assembly at solid surfaces is governed by the subtle interplay between molecule–molecule and molecule–substrate interactions that can be tuned by varying molecular building blocks, surface chemistry and structure as well as substrate temperature.

In this review, basic principles behind molecular self-assembly of organic molecules on metal surfaces will be discussed. Controlling these formation principles allows for creating a wide variety of different molecular surface structures ranging from well-defined clusters, quasi one-dimensional rows to ordered, two-dimensional overlayers. An impressive number of studies exist, demonstrating the ability of molecular self-assembly to create these different structural motifs in a predictable manner by tuning the molecular building blocks as well as the metallic substrate.

Here, the multitude of different surface structures of the natural amino acid cysteine on two different gold surfaces observed with scanning tunnelling microscopy will be reviewed. Cysteine on Au(110)-(1×2) represents a model system illustrating the formation of all the above mentioned structural motifs without changing the molecular building blocks or the substrate surface. The only parameters in this system are substrate temperature and molecular coverage, controlling both the molecular adsorption state (physisorption versus chemisorption) and molecular surface mobility. By tuning the adsorption state and the molecular mobility, distinctly different molecular structures are formed, exemplifying the variety of structural motifs that can be achieved by molecular self-assembly.
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1. Introduction

Modern material science is based upon providing smart solutions to a wide range of different applications in very heterogeneous environments. Ideally, functional materials should be tailored to address specific tasks and to provide well-defined functionality such as molecular recognition for (bio)sensors or protective layers for surface coatings [1].

Molecular self-assembly has been recognised as a very promising strategy for creating such tailor-made functional materials. Especially at solid surfaces, extended, two-dimensional molecular layers are already widely used, providing well-controlled properties such as corrosion resistance, surface superhydrophobicity, or anti Fouling coatings [2–4].

However, molecular self-assembly bears the potential for engineering much more advanced structures with high degree of complexity. Recently it has been demonstrated that molecular self-assembly can be employed to fabricate surface structures ranging from clusters [5*], unidirectional rows [5*,6*,7] to porous networks with well-defined pore size and periodicity [8**,9**] or arrays of metal atoms [10**]. This exceptional flexibility opens up another emerging field of application, namely molecular electronics that is believed to be the successor of today’s electronics based on silicon technology: As early as 1965 Moore has stated his famous “Moore’s law” [11], predicting that the growing demand for cheap, small, but yet faster computers and other electronic devices will inevitably result in the end of the “silicon road” [12] as the needed miniaturisation of integrated circuits is limited to several tens of nanometres using conventional silicon technology based on so-called “top-down” lithography [13]. A promising solution to
this challenge is integrating functional molecules into silicon devices as building blocks for e.g. wires, switches and transistors using “bottom-up” techniques. Nowadays, advances in chemistry synthesis allow to tailor the atomic structure of organic molecules providing a very wide range of flexibility in electronic structure. However, there is still a considerable gap between synthesising individual molecules with tailored electronic structure and an electronic circuit that can be addressed and connected to other devices. Besides the question of creating an interface to the macroscopic world, one of the main challenges for molecular electronics is to precisely position the molecular building blocks such that they eventually form a functioning structure like wires and logic operators. Manipulation of individual molecules one-by-one seems at present far too time-consuming to constitute a rational pathway for mass production of molecular electronic devices. Therefore, a technique that provides a route for creating molecular cluster, one-dimensional wires and even more complex structures in a predictable and well-controlled manner is of outmost importance for the evolution of molecular electronics. In contrast to predicting and well-controlled manner is of outmost importance for the evolution of molecular electronics. In contrast to addressing each molecule individually, employing molecular self-assembly has been identified as one of the few promising strategies for the mass fabrication of complex molecular systems [14,15,16].

In order to take advantage of this versatile technique, a precise understanding of the mechanisms behind self-assembly is needed to enable the fabrication of well-defined molecular structures in a predictable manner. Consequently, molecular self-assembly has been studied extensively in the last decade. Many of these studies have been carried out on single crystal metallic or semiconducting surfaces with scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) conditions, as several central structuring motifs are experimentally accessible only when studied under clean and very precisely controlled UHV conditions. However, a growing number of publications emerge demonstrating a high degree of control in molecular self-assembly at the liquid–solid interface as well, especially on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) [17,18].

In this review, the basic principles explaining molecular self-assembly on metal surfaces under UHV conditions will be summarised. These principles will be illustrated using cysteine on gold as a model system, demonstrating how distinctly different structures ranging from clusters and dimers, quasi one-dimensional rows to two-dimensional overlayers can be achieved by changing the substrate temperature and the molecular coverage.

2. Basic principles

Molecular self-assembly has been defined as the “spontaneous assembly of molecules into structured, stable, non-covalently joined aggregates under equilibrium” [19]. This definition comprises the existence of predefined building blocks that interact weakly in order to provide the possibility for both bond formation and bond breaking until the stable equilibrium structure is formed. In contrast, in many experimental studies the system may not be able to reach the equilibrium state but might be trapped in a kinetically limited state. The term self-organisation has been established for such kinetically limited systems [15]. The difference between molecular self-assembly and self-organisation is illustrated in Fig. 1: To distinguish between self-organisation and self-assembly the ratio between molecular flux and surface diffusivity needs to be considered. If the flux is high and diffusivity is low, the molecules are not able to reach their equilibrium structure, but rather get trapped in a diffusion-limited state, as demonstrated by the growth of dendrimeric Ag islands on Pt(111) [20]. On the other hand, if the flux of molecules is low and the diffusivity is high, the molecules are able to move freely on the surface, resulting in the growth of the thermodynamically favoured, equilibrium structure.

The structure formation is governed by the balance between intermolecular and molecule–substrate interactions as illustrated in Fig. 2a. The diffusivity of the molecules on the surface can be controlled by a variation of substrate temperature. Upon increasing the substrate temperature, thermal energy is transferred to the molecules, thereby providing sufficient kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}}$ to overcome the diffusion barrier $E_d$ on the surface (see Fig. 2b). This is one prerequisite for the formation of the thermodynamic equilibrium structure. On the other hand, the kinetic energy of the molecules $E_{\text{kin}}$ must, of course, not exceed the binding energy $E_b$ of the molecules on the surface - otherwise the molecules would desorb from the surface. Finally, we need to consider the intermolecular interaction energy $E_{\text{inter}}$. This interaction is crucial for the formation of ordered structures as it comprises the information on how to assemble the predefined building blocks. As mentioned above, the intermolecular interaction should be “weak”, meaning that the molecular entities must possess sufficient energy to probe the corrugation of the energy landscape in order to identify the equilibrium structure in the global minimum. If the intermolecular interaction is “strong” the molecules stick together irreversibly once they have met, preventing the formation of an ordered equilibrium structure. On the other hand, the interaction between the molecules must be of sufficient strength to allow for the formation of a stable structure. The latter condition can be achieved when the intermolecular interaction energy $E_{\text{inter}}$ is of the same order as the kinetic energy of the molecules $E_{\text{kin}}$, being only slightly larger than $E_{\text{kin}}$. The energy conditions to be fulfilled for molecular self-assembly can therefore be summarized as $E_b > E_{\text{inter}} \geq E_{\text{kin}} > E_d$.

An additional aspect needs to be considered when the molecules can both physisorb and chemisorb on the surface as depicted in Fig. 3. Usually diffusion barriers become very large when molecules chemisorb onto the surface as chemisorption is stronger than physisorption (Fig. 3a). An ordered structure of chemisorbed molecules on a substrate surface is, therefore, usually not governed by intermolecular interactions but solely by the strong chemisorption energy. This is why covalently bound molecules are usually not considered as molecular self-assembled structure, but rather as a “classical” superstructure (typical examples are atoms or small molecules like oxygen or carbon monoxide on various metal surfaces forming regular
superstructures). However, molecular self-assembly of chemisorbed species is possible in special cases, for example when the mobility of the substrate atoms is high enough such that the chemisorbed molecule together with the bound substrate atom forms a new entity, which then can diffuse and act as a new building block [21]. This is a rather common effect upon molecular adsorption onto metal surfaces: molecule deposition has been observed to cause both local substrate restructuring [22] as well as large-scale surface refacetting [23]. Interestingly, the archetypical example of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs), namely alkanethiols on Au(111) [24], involve a covalent sulphur–gold bond. However, several indications exist [21,25] that suggest a restructuring of the underlying gold substrate in line with the above picture of a diffusing molecule-substrate atom entity.

As shown in Fig. 3b, an activation barrier $\Delta E$ can exist between the weakly bound physisorbed molecule and the chemisorbed state. If thermal energy is not sufficient to overcome the barrier between the physisorbed and the chemisorbed state, the molecules remain physisorbed on the surface, allowing for investigating the self-assembled structure of the physisorbed species. Upon increasing the substrate temperature, the energy barrier might be overcome, resulting in chemisorbed molecules on the surface. These molecules can, as outlined above, again form self-assembled structures, which can deviate significantly from the physisorbed species due to the substantial change in the molecular building block.

Another example that requires activation energy is dehydrogenation necessary to allow hydrogen bond formation. In a recent study, 4,9-di-aminoperylene-quinone-3,10-di-imide (DPDI) was annealed to 570 K in order to remove hydrogen from the molecule [26]. The remaining residuals are now activated in a sense that the intermolecular interaction (hydrogen bonding) is “switched on” after the dehydrogenisation.

Besides the energetics discussed above, also the molecular building blocks can be tailored for creating well-defined molecular structures. Organic molecules as building blocks provide a wide range of variety regarding both strength of interaction as well as directionality. Moreover, they can be synthesised with great flexibility and control. This is why organic molecules have become the standard for molecular self-assembly experiments. Of special interest are biomolecules such as amino acids and DNA bases, the latter are very attractive for...
molecular self-assembly due to their ability to selectively form hydrogen bonds with well-controlled direction, which is defined by the molecular structure [27].

The basic molecule–molecule interaction types to be considered for molecular self-assembly are listed in Table 1. It is instructive to classify the different interaction types in terms of interaction strength, typical bonding length and nature [16]. As already mentioned, hydrogen bonds are an interesting interaction for self-assembly as they provide both molecular selectivity and well-defined orientation. Also dipole–dipole interaction can lead to very selective and thus well-controlled structure formation. A prime example demonstrating the potential of dipole–dipole interaction for molecular self-assembly has been reported by Yokoyama et al. [28]. By varying the number and position of substituents on a porphyrin molecule the structure formation can be controlled in a predictable fashion. Besides these two bonding types, also metal atom complexation can provide a selective and directed interaction [29]. The main other interactions, namely van-der-Waals and electrostatic interactions, are non-selective. Although van-der-Waals interactions are comparatively weak, they can have a significant influence on molecular self-assembly of organic molecules possessing e.g. long alkane chains.

In addition to these direct intermolecular interactions, it is also possible that molecules communicate indirectly through substrate-mediated interactions. An example for substrate-mediated interaction is the oscillatory interaction induced by the two-dimensional electron gas of the surface state, having an interaction length of up to 7 nm [6,30]. Substrate-mediated repulsion is also the key for understanding the network formation of anthraquinone molecules on Cu(111) [9]. However, the detailed nature of this repulsion is not completely understood at present.

Another substrate-mediated interaction mechanism can be caused by adsorbate-induced reconstructions. Molecular structure formation can be directed e.g. to form unidirectional rows although the individual entities do not interact along the row direction [31]. This can be understood by the fact that the energy for extending an existing reconstruction is typically less than forming an entirely new reconstruction structure.

Another important aspect to be considered is the competition of different intermolecular interaction types such as isotropic van-der-Waals interactions and anisotropic hydrogen bondings [32]. Recent theoretical investigations revealed that a kinetically-controlled transition exists between the growth of compact islands and one-dimensional molecular chains, resulting in a distinct temperature regime for one-dimensional growth [32].

Finally, also the molecular coverage influences the final structure to be formed. A nice example is self-assembly of dehydrogenated DPDI on Cu(111), which reveals three distinctly different structures in dependence on the coverage [26]. At low coverages, an open honeycomb network is formed that is only stable up to a maximum coverage of 0.7 monolayer (ML). In an intermediate coverage regime of molecular self-assembly, bonding energy, distance and nature can be very different. These differences can be exploited for controlling molecular self-assembly.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction type</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Bonding length</th>
<th>Nature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>van-der-Waals</td>
<td>~0.1 eV</td>
<td>0.5 ... 1 nm</td>
<td>Non-selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H bonding</td>
<td>0.1 ... 0.5 eV</td>
<td>0.20 ... 0.35 nm</td>
<td>Selective, directed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td>0.1 ... 3 eV</td>
<td>Up to several nm</td>
<td>Non-selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole–dipole</td>
<td>0.1 ... 0.5 eV</td>
<td>0.2 ... 0.3 nm</td>
<td>Directed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal complexation</td>
<td>1 ... 3 eV</td>
<td>0.2 ... 0.3 nm</td>
<td>Selective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate-mediated</td>
<td>0.1 ... 1 eV</td>
<td>up to 7 nm</td>
<td>Oscillatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction-mediated</td>
<td>1 eV</td>
<td>system dependent</td>
<td>Covalent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depending on the interaction type, bonding energy, distance and nature can be very different. These differences can be exploited for controlling molecular self-assembly.
0.85 ML, an extended layer assembled by a trimeric unit is formed. When a complete monolayer is deposited, the molecules arrange themselves in a dense packing which is incommensurate with the underlying substrate.

Recent developments include coadsorption or sequential adsorption of different species [33]. Coadsorption allows for the formation of even more advanced structures such as a network formed by one type of molecule that represents the “host” for a “guest” molecule. A very elegant example for such a host–guest system is an H-bonded network based on perylene tetra-carboxylic di-imide (PTCDI) and melamine linkers with C60 molecules as guest molecules [8]. The structure formation of such coadsorbed systems has been addressed theoretically by Monte Carlo simulations. Depending on the specific interaction energies and molecular coverage, these simulations reveal whether the two molecular species segregate or form mixed structures [34].

Employing and combining the above mentioned strategies in a clever way have led to the achievement of impressive control and predictability in molecular self-assembly. Recent advances have demonstrated a great flexibility in structure formation as illustrated in Fig. 4. A considerable number of structures observed upon molecular self-assembly at solid surfaces comprise extended, two-dimensional molecular monolayers (Fig. 4a) [35–39]. These systems typically have in common that the intermolecular interaction dominates over the molecule-substrate interaction. Especially for large organic molecules it has been recognised that incommensurate or so-called “quasi-epitaxial” film growth is more likely than epitaxial growth, which can be understood by the increasing number of energetically similar adsorption sites when increasing the size of the molecule. A prominent exception to this rule of thumb is perylene tetra-carboxylic di-anhydride (PTCDA) on Ag(111), which forms perfectly ordered commensurate overlayers [40].

Besides extended layers, also quasi one-dimensional, molecular chains have been observed, using the control of inter-molecular interactions like hydrogen bonding [5,7,28••,41••] (Fig. 4b) or substrate-mediated interactions [6••,31]. Two other studies might be mentioned in this context although they deviate slightly from the scope of this review as they involve the activation of directed growth by a voltage pulse of the STM tip [42,43]. In these studies, adsorbed organic molecules undergo a polymerisation reaction after initial activation, forming very well-defined, one-dimensional molecular chains on the surface.

Other structures such as molecular clusters (Fig. 4c) like trimers, tetramers [28••] and decamers [5•] or porous networks [8••,9•] (Fig. 4d) as well as even more complex structures involving regular arrays of metal ions that are kept in place by molecular entities [44] have now been realised by the clever design of the molecular building blocks.

So far, the vast majority of self-assembly experiments involving organic molecules have been carried out on single...
crystal metal surfaces. This is simply due to the fact that metallic substrates enable the application of a wide variety of different surface-sensitive techniques including STM. Moreover, single crystal metal surfaces represent well-known and well-defined substrates for the deposition of organic molecules. Especially gold surfaces have been used extensively as they are rather inert and easy to clean in ultra-high vacuum environment. Besides gold, especially silver \[\text{Ag}\] and copper \[\text{Cu}\] have become standard substrates for studying molecular self-assembly.

3. Cysteine on gold as a model system

In order to illustrate the above mentioned principle in molecular self-assembly, the adsorption of the natural amino acid cysteine, \(\text{HS–CH}_2–\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}–\text{COOH}\), onto two different faces of gold will be reviewed. It will be demonstrated that all the above mentioned different structural motifs ranging from extended, two-dimensional overlayers, one-dimensional molecular rows, well-defined clusters to porous structures can be achieved with this particular system.

The molecule (see Fig. 5a) is interesting from the point of view that it is the only natural amino acid possessing a mercapto group (HS-) which interacts strongly with gold as known from the archetypical class of alkanethiols studied on Au(111). Moreover, cysteine possesses an asymmetric carbon atom i.e. a carbon atom that has four different binding partners (indicated by an asterisk in Fig. 5a). This turns cysteine into a chiral molecule with two mirror-symmetric enantiomers, allowing for chiral effects to be studied. The natural and therefore most abundant form is l-cysteine. The molecule can be easily evaporated onto the gold substrates by using a Knudsen-type of evaporator as reported previously [31,48–51].

The influence of the molecule-substrate interaction can be illustrated by choosing two different gold substrates, namely Au(111) and Au(110)-(1×2) as depicted in Fig. 5b and c, respectively. The hexagonally close-packed Au(111) surface represents a prime example for an inert substrate. In UHV this surface exhibits the well-known 22×√3 reconstruction [52] that is evident from its characteristic herringbone-type appearance. The (110) face of gold reconstructs under UHV conditions into the so-called missing-row reconstruction, where every second close-packed row in the [1–10] direction is missing [53]. In contrast to Au(111), where the surface atoms are 9-fold coordinated, the Au(110)-(1×2) surface is highly corrugated, exposing 7-fold coordinated atoms at the surface, which are consequently more reactive than the surface atoms of Au(111). This difference in surface structure and reactivity is readily reflected in the molecular structure of cysteine evaporated onto these surfaces.

3.1. Au(111)

In Fig. 6 an STM overview is given on the molecular structures of l-cysteine on Au(111) depending on both substrate temperature and molecular coverage. When cysteine is evaporated onto Au(111) held at room temperature, unordered cysteine islands are observed growing from the step edges and the elbows of the herringbone reconstruction as seen in Fig. 6a. Upon increasing the coverage, these unordered islands grow in size (Fig. 6b), however, no ordering is achieved within the islands. Coexisting with the unordered islands another structure is observed, which is depicted in Fig. 6c. This overlayer does not exhibit ordering with a quadratic symmetry. Most strikingly, the herringbone reconstruction is clearly evident underneath this molecular layer, indicating that the herringbone reconstruction remains unperturbed upon molecule evaporation at room temperature. As it is known that chemisorption strongly affects the herringbone reconstruction, this result indicates a non-covalent molecule–substrate interaction. Moreover, this molecular overlayer has been observed with no preferential rotational relationship with respect to the underlying gold substrate, in line with the conclusion of a weak, non-covalent molecule–substrate interaction.

Higher cysteine coverages evaporated onto Au(111) held at room temperature lead to the formation of several different structures, revealing variations of hexagonal patterns as shown in Fig. 6d and e. These structures coexist with the ordered quadratic overlayer, however, they become more pronounced...
upon increasing the cysteine coverage, suggesting the onset of multilayer formation.

A distinct change in the molecular structure is observed upon annealing the substrate to 380 K. A local order is observed both in the low and high-coverage regime as shown in Fig. 6f and g, respectively. Now the herringbone reconstruction is indeed perturbed by the presence of the molecular island shown in Fig. 6f, suggesting the transition from physisorbed cysteine to chemisorbed cysteinate molecules involving a covalent sulphur–gold bond between the dehydrogenated mercapto group and the surface (Au–S–CH2–CH(NH3)–COOH).

Only upon annealing to 380 K, the high-coverage regime develops the well-known (√3 × √3) R30° overlayer, however, this layer remains imperfect on a large scale (see Fig. 6g). These findings clearly indicate a distinct difference of cysteine adsorbed onto Au(111) compared to the archetypical system of alkanethiols on this surface.

It is difficult to draw conclusion on the binding properties based on STM data alone. However, the results do indicate that cysteinate formation and covalent bonding on Au(111) first occurs upon thermal activation. This conclusion has caused some controversy as it is generally believed that alkanethiols readily bind to Au(111) forming a covalent thiolate–gold bond, which has indeed been observed for cysteine as well, however, deposited onto Au(111) under liquid environment [54]. However, several recent publications have stressed discrepancies in the current description of alkanethiols on Au(111), indicating that the picture of thiols on Au(111) might be more complicated than commonly believed [21,25]. An important aspect is the occurrence of “etch pits” upon alkanethiol deposition even when evaporating under UHV conditions. The puzzle of the etch pits and contradicting experimental and theoretical observations regarding the adsorption site has been addressed by proposing a model involving the removal of gold atoms from the herringbone reconstruction which are subsequently incorporated in an adsorbate-gold complex [21,25]. In the present case the situation is clearly different as the herringbone reconstruction can be unambiguously resolved underneath the molecular layer. A possible explanation might be the fact that cysteine is different from prototypical alkanethiols in having both an amino and carboxylic group which gives rise to strong intermolecular interactions which are absent for short-chain alkanethiols.

### 3.2. Au(110)-(1 × 2)

Compared to the situation on Au(111), the molecular overlayers of cysteine deposited onto Au(110)-(1 × 2) reveal...
an even richer variety of geometrical structures. Again, the molecular structures depend on the adsorption state, on the possibility to induce surface restructuring and on the molecular coverage. When evaporated at 120 K, individual molecules are observed, forming unordered islands consisting of several molecular species as depicted in Fig. 7a. Upon annealing to 270 K the situation changes remarkably, now revealing identical, monodisperse clusters that are composed of eight subunits as depicted in Fig. 7b [49]. Two centre subunits are surrounded by three units at each side. For clusters formed from L cysteine, the centre units as well as the upper left and lower right corner entities are imaged brighter. This internal structure breaks the mirror symmetry of the system, indicating the chiral nature of the adsorbed molecules. Experiments have revealed that the brighter corner entities are less strongly bound to the cluster compared to the other corner entities [49]. At 270 K these clusters can be manipulated along the [1–10] direction, leaving behind an unperturbed gold surface, indicating that the clusters are physisorbed to the substrate rather than chemisorbed.

A tentative model for an L cysteine cluster is given in Fig. 7c, showing eight cysteine molecules that form a hydrogen bonded network. All cysteine molecules are physisorbed to the gold surfaces and it is a reasonable starting point to assume that the mercapto group is involved in the physisorption. The detailed adsorption site of the mercapto group, however, cannot be deduced from the STM data shown here. For the model shown in Fig. 7c a bridge position is assumed as adsorption site for the mercapto group. In the centre of the cluster, two cysteine molecules form a dimer through two hydrogen bonds of the carboxylic groups indicated by dotted lines. These cysteine molecules are surrounded by three cysteine molecules on the neighbouring rows. In this model, the amino groups of the two centre molecules form hydrogen bonds with the surrounding cysteine molecules to the lower left and upper right. The three molecules on the neighbouring gold row are interconnected by hydrogen bonds through the carboxylic groups as indicated by the dotted lines. This model readily explains why the upper left and lower right corner entities are imaged brighter and are less strongly bound to the cluster: The corner entities are bound with only one hydrogen bond to the cluster structure and the brighter appearance might be explained by the free oxygen atom that is not involved in hydrogen bond formation.

The situation changes remarkably when annealing to room temperature or depositing the cysteine molecules onto Au(110)-(1 × 2) held at room temperature. As depicted in Fig. 7d, a poorly ordered, anisotropic structure is observed. This structure dissolves again upon further annealing to 340–380 K, rearranging into another surface structure that is shown in Fig. 7e. A double-lobe structure is formed with a characteristic 20° rotation with respect to the close-packed gold rows. The size of each protrusion in the double-lobe feature fits with 20° rotation with respect to the close-packed gold rows. The solution to this puzzle is again found in a massive substrate restructuring underneath the double-row structure. Both STM and DFT results suggest that two added gold rows underneath the row structure are removed (the original position of these gold rows is indicated by the black arrows in Fig. 7i) [31]. The removal of an atom from a perfect surface is energetically demanding as this involves the reduction of the coordination number of highly coordinated atoms. However, if an atom is removed from an already existing vacancy structure, the energy needed is much smaller. This means that a large energy barrier exists for two cysteine molecules to create a new nucleation site, whereas the growth of an already existing row is energetically more favourable. Thus, the molecule-induced surface rearrangement provides an effective attraction driving the unidirectional growth of the molecular structure.
When the coverage is further increased, yet another structure is observed that coexist with the molecular dimers and molecular double rows [50]. In Fig. 7k an STM image is depicted showing two gold terraces that are covered by both cysteine dimers and double rows. Besides, three islands of a more dense structure are found, a zoom into such an island is shown in the insert. As can be seen in the insert, these islands reveals a rather complex internal structure that seems to involve more than one molecular layer. The islands have been observed to grow predominately from kinked step edges. This has been explained by enantiospecific adsorption of the cysteine molecules to the kink sites, which represent chiral centres at the surface [50].
According to the substrate temperature, cysteine molecules either physisorb or chemisorb onto the surface.

At low temperatures, the molecules physisorb onto the surface and do not have enough mobility to form ordered structures. Only upon annealing to 270 K, the molecules possess enough kinetic energy to diffuse and self-assemble into monodisperse cysteine clusters. Further annealing to room temperature induces the transition from physisorption to chemisorption, which is associated with the disappearance of the clusters. As the chemisorbed species are strongly bound to the gold surface, self-assembly of ordered structures is initially prohibited. First upon annealing to 340–380 K ordered structures are formed, which involve substrate-induced surface restructuring. Annealing is necessary to supply enough energy to overcome the activation barrier for surface restructuring, which is a prerequisite for the chemisorbed cysteine molecules to self-assemble into ordered structures. Further increasing the annealing temperature leads to the dissociative desorption of the molecules, leaving atomic sulphur behind on the surface.

The relatively simple system of cysteine on Au(110)-(1 × 2) thus illustrates the potential of molecular self-assembly for fabricating tailor-made structures, as distinctly different structures can be obtained from the same system simply by changing two parameters namely temperature and molecular coverage.

4. Summary and outlook

Molecular self-assembly has developed from an emerging technique to a powerful method for creating well-defined molecular structures. Increasing understanding of the principles behind molecular self-assembly, improving flexibility and control in molecular synthesis as well as extending the range of involved interactions has greatly contributed to this development. Nowadays, an impressive variety of structures can be obtained from predefined components both well-controlled and in a predictable fashion.

Future trends in molecular self-assembly include depositing reactive molecular species that react prior to self-assembly. This route is especially interesting for larger molecules that cannot be evaporated by simple heating from a Knudsen-type of evaporator. Such a pre-reaction could also act as a switch to activate the self-assembly process at a well-defined moment. First examples include the activation of molecular species by dehydrogenisation [26].

Moreover, it is also interesting to design systems that can react after the self-assembly process. In this case, self-assembly can be employed for precise positioning of molecules in order to form a predefined structure. The subsequent reaction can be utilised to produce structures that might be more stable against harsh environmental conditions e.g. changing temperatures as present in real-life applications.

Finally, it is important to extend the studies on molecular self-assembly to non-conductive substrates, as many applications are limited to non-conductive surfaces. Especially for the exciting field of molecular electronics, non-conductive substrates will be mandatory.
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